U NOVARTIS

Regulatory Affairs

Drug generic name

Summary of the EU Safety Risk Management Plan

Active substance(s) (INN or common name):	Everolismus
Product(s) concerned (brand name(s)):	Certican®
Document status:	Final
Version number of the RMP Public Summary:	v 1.0 (RMP v. 5.0)
Date of final sign off of the RMP Public Summary	19-Sep-2023

The Risk Management Plan (RMP) is a comprehensive document submitted as part of the application dossier for market approval of a medicine. The RMP summary contains information on the medicine's safety profile and explains the measures that are taken in order to further investigate and follow the risks as well as to prevent or minimise them.

The RMP summary of "Certican ®" is a concise document and does not claim to be exhaustive.

As the RMP is an international document, the summary might differ from the "Arzneimittelinformation / Information sur le médicament" approved and published in Switzerland, e.g. by mentioning risks occurring in populations or indications not included in the Swiss authorization.

Please note that the reference document which is valid and relevant for the effective and safe use of "Certican ®" in Switzerland is the "Arzneimittelinformation/ Information sur le médicament" (see www.swissmedic.ch) approved and authorized by Swissmedic. Novartis Pharma Schweiz AG is fully responsible for the accuracy and correctness of the content of the published summary RMP of "Certican ®".

Table of contents

Table of contents	2
I. The medicine and what it is used for	3
II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks	3
II.A: List of important risks and missing information	5
II B: Summary of important risks	5
II C: Post-authorization development plan	8
II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization	8

Summary of the risk management plan for Certican (Everolimus)

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Certican®. The RMP details important risks of Certican®, how these risks can be minimized, and how more information will be obtained about Certican®'s risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Certican® summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Certican® should be used.

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Certican® RMP.

I. The medicine and what it is used for

Certican® belongs to a group of medicines called immunosuppressants. It is used to prevent the body's immune system from rejecting a transplanted kidney, heart or liver. Certican is used together with other medicines, such as ciclosporin for kidney and heart transplantation, tacrolimus for liver transplantation, and corticosteroids.

Kidney transplantation: In 2010, approximately 70,000 kidney transplant cases were performed worldwide (Kasiske et al 2013). Approximately 85% of adults and 82% of children receiving a kidney from a living donor are expected to be alive with a functioning kidney at 5 years after the transplantation. In patients receiving a kidney transplant from a deceased donor, 70% of adults and 70% of children are expected to be alive with a functioning kidney at 5 years (Matas et al 2013).

Heart transplantation: In 2010, approximately 5,600 heart transplant cases were performed worldwide (Kasiske et al 2013). About 75% of adults and 72% of children receiving a heart transplant are alive at 5 years from transplantation (Colvin-Adams et al 2013).

Liver transplantation: In 2010, about 21,000 cases of liver transplant were performed in the World (Kasiske et al 2013). Approximately 72% of adults and 82% of children receiving a liver transplant from a living donor are expected to be alive with a functioning liver at 5 years after the transplantation. In patients receiving a liver from a deceased donor, 68% of adults and 75% of children are expected to be alive with a functioning liver at 5 years (Kim et al 2013).

II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks

Important risks of Certican, together with measures to minimize such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Certican's risks, are outlined below. Measures to minimize the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine's legal status the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimize its risks.

Together, these measures constitute routine risk minimization measures. In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment - so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute routine pharmacovigilance activities.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Certican is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

Novartis	Confidential	Page 5
EU Safety Risk Management P	lan Summary version 1.0	RAD001/Certican

II.A: List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of Certican are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimize the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Certican. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine);

Important identified risks	New onset diabetes mellitus (NODM)
Important identified fisks	Thrombotic microangiopathies (TMA)
	Malignancies
Important potential risks	Impaired male fertility
	Unfavourable outcome of everolimus exposure
	during pregnancy and breast-feeding.
Missing information	Use in a pediatric population
	Severe liver function impairment
	Patients at high immunological risk

Table 1 List of important risks and missing information

II B: Summary of important risks

Table 2 Important identified risk: N	lew onset diabetes mellitus (NODM)
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Current evidence is based on the review of clinical trial data, published literatures and post marketing evidence (Montori et al 2002, Fabrizi et al 2005, Cosio et al 2001, Kasiske et al 2003, Woodward et al 2003, Davidson et al 2003, Gourishankar et al 2004, Abbott et al 2005, Matas et al 2002, Rodrigo et al 2006, Johnston et al 2008, Hariharan 2006).
Risk factors and risk groups	Risk factors have not been identified in Certican studies specifically. Otherwise, unmodifiable factors predisposing to development of NODM have been described as: older age, black and hispanic ethnicity, and family history of diabetes mellitus. Modifiable risk factors include: overweight/obesity, viral infection, drugs (steroids, CNIs), and dosage. Also, the use of mTOR inhibitors has been described as a factor (Rodrigo et al 2006; Johnston et al 2008).
Risk minimization measures	 Routine risk minimization measures SmPC Section 4.4 and Section 4.8. Additional risk minimization measures None

Table 3 Important identified risk: T	hrombotic microangiopathies (TMA)
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Current evidence is based on the review of clinical trial data, published literatures and post marketing evidence (Candinas et al 1994, Hochstetler et al 1994, Randhawa et al 1996, Zent et al 1997, Bren et al 1998, Zarifian et al 1999, Reynolds et al 2003, Ponticelli and Banfi 2006, Ponticelli 2007).
Risk factors and risk groups	Familial or idiopathic TMA can exist in individuals in the absence of transplantation and use of drugs, the familial form being linked to genetic disorders. Such individuals can have a considerably increased risk of recurrent TMA, making it important for such a predisposition to be looked for in the routine screening of renal failure patients who are candidates for transplantation. In transplant recipients, ischemia-reperfusion injury, acute rejection, and viral infection have been described as predisposing to TMA, as well as therapy with CNI and mTOR inhibitors.
Risk minimization measures	 Routine risk minimization measures SmPC Section 4.4 and Section 4.8.
	Additional risk minimization measures
	None

Table 4 Important identified risk: Malignancies

Table + Important identified fisk. M	
Evidence for linking the risk to	Current evidence is based on the review of
the medicine	clinical trial data, published literatures and post
	marketing evidence (Andreone et al 2003, Paya
	et al 1999, U.S. OPTN/SRTR 2003, Smith et al
	2006, Adam and Hoti 2009, Faull et al 2005,
	Chapman and Webster 2004, Kasiske et al
	2004, Jensen et al 1999, Gjersvik et al 2000,
	London et al 1995, Buell et al 2005, Campistol
	et al 2007, ANZDATA Registry report 2008,
	Smith et al 2013, Debray et al 2009).
Risk factors and risk groups	No analysis has been carried out for specific risk
	factors for malignancy associated with
	everolimus. Epidemiology studies have
	identified the following as increased risk factors:
	intensity of immunosuppression, Epstein-Barr
	virus infection (PTLD), chronic viral hepatitis
	(hepatoma), and duration of end-stage renal
	disease (renal cell carcinoma) (Buell et al
	2005).
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures
	• SmPC Section 4.4 and Section 4.8.
	Additional risk minimization measures
	None

Table 5 Important potential risk: Impaired male fertility

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Not available because of the lack of information for Certican to increase the risk of impaired male fertility.
Risk factors and risk groups	Risk factors are unknown
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measuresSmPC Section 4.4.
	Additional risk minimization measures
	None

Table 6 Important potential risk: Unfavourable outcome of everolimus exposureduring pregnancy and breast-feeding.

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Limited data is available for association of use of Certican and adverse outcome of pregnancy (Murakami et al 2004).
Risk factors and risk groups	Not relevant.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures
	SmPC Section 4.6
	Additional risk minimization measures
	None

Table 7 Missing information: Use in a pediatric population

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	The clinical experience in pediatric population remains limited and provide insufficient experience to recommend the use of everolimus in pediatric population. Monitoring of data from pediatric use to identify new safety information with use of everolimus or any trends/patterns in AEs reported in pediatric cases that are different from general population AEs.
Risk factors and risk groups	Not relevant.
Risk minimization measures	 Routine risk minimization measures SmPC Section 4.2 Additional risk minimization measures None

Table 8 Missing information: Severe liver function impairment

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	The clinical experience in patients with liver impairment remains limited. CDS describes recommendations for the use of everolimus in people with underlying liver impairment however data is limited. Monitoring of data from this population use to identify new safety information or any trends/patterns in AEs that are different from general population AEs.
Risk factors and risk groups	Not relevant.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures

SmPC Section 4.4 Additional risk minimization measures None
--

Table 9 Missing information: Patients at high immunological risk

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Certican has not been adequately studied in patients at high immunological risk (e.g. black, anti-HLA Class I panel reactive antibodies > 20% by a complement dependent cytotoxicity based assay or > 50% by a flow cytometry or enzyme linked immunosorbent assay based assay). Monitoring of data from this population use to identify new safety information or any trends/patterns in AEs that are different from general population AEs.
Risk factors and risk groups	Not relevant.
Risk minimization measures	 Routine risk minimization measures SmPC Section 4.4 Additional risk minimization measures None

II C: Post-authorization development plan

II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization

This section is not applicable as there are no studies which are under conditions for marketing authorization.

II.C.2. Other studies in post-authorization development plan

There are no studies in the post-authorization development plan.